

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,839	04/21/2004	Nikolaos Koudas	ATT 2002-0457	5311
26652 AT&T CORP.	7590 07/10/2007	*	EXAM	INER
ROOM 2A207			RAYYAN, SUSAN F	
ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2167	
				•
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE .
			07/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/828,839	KOUDAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan F. Rayyan	2167				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ag	oril 2007.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are rejected.	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
, ,						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received:						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/828,839

Art Unit: 2167

been made (see below).

Response to Arguments

1. Claims 1-5, 11-24 are canceled.

2. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed April 12, 2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 6-10 under 35 USC 101 as being directed towards

non-statutory have been fully considered and are persuasive.

3. In the response mailed on January 12, 2007 Examiner indicated claims 610 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would
be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the
base claim and any intervening claims. Upon further search and consideration
the Examiner has withdrawn the objection and a new ground(s) of rejection has

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,839

Art Unit: 2167

Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2004/0003004 A1 issued to Surajit Chaudhuri et al. ("Chaudhuri") in view of US 2004/0111408 issued to Maureen Caudill et al ("Caudill").

As per claim 6 Chaudhuri teaches:

preprocessing a database having a relation to produce an index (see paragraph 42, lines 1-4, index is built over relations), wherein said preprocessing step comprises:

receiving a query having aggregation constraint and applying said index to look up a result in response to said query having aggregation constraints (paragraph 25, database server receives and processes queries to retrieve, delete and update using SQL which includes aggregation constraints and paragraph 26, lines 2-4, as possessing the query using an index).

Chaudhuri does not explicitly teach identifying a dominating vector of constants, c' for a given n-dimensional vector of constants, c. Caudill does teach this limitation (paragraph 148 as n-dimensional, vector constant) to improve performance and rapid, efficient relevancy ranking and clustering. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Chaudhuri with identifying a c' for a given n-dimensional vector of constants, c to improve performance and rapid, efficient relevancy ranking and clustering as described by Caudill (paragraph 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,839

Art Unit: 2167

As per claim 7, same as claim argument above and Chaundhuri teaches: obtaining a partition defined by said vector c and said vector c' (paragraph 9, as candidate data structures equate to the index containing pointers to partitions).

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2004/0003004 A1 issued to Surajit Chaudhuri et al. ("Chaudhuri") in view of US 2004/0111408 issued to Maureen Caudill et al ("Caudill") as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of US Patent 6,122,628 issued to Vittorio Castelli et al ("Castelli").

As per claim 8, same as claim arguments above and Chaundhuri in view of Caudill do not explicitly teach wherein said partition is expressed as a hyper rectangle. Castelli does teach this limitation (column 17, lines 62- column 18 lines 11, hyper rectangles) to generate compact indexes such that most of the index can reside in main memory. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chaundhuri in view of Caudill with wherein said partition is expressed as a hyper rectangle to generate compact indexes such that most of the index can reside in main memory as described by Castelli (abstract).

As per claim 9, same as claim arguments above and Chaundhuri in view of Caudill do not explicitly teach inserting said partition into a multidimensional data structure. Castelli does teach inserting said partition into a multidimensional data structure (column 12, lines 62-63 as R-tree) to generate compact indexes such

Art Unit: 2167

that most of the index can reside in main memory. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chaundhuri in view of Caudill with inserting said partition into a multidimensional data structure to generate compact indexes such that most of the index can reside in main memory as described by Castelli (abstract).

As per claim 10, same as claim arguments above and Castelli teaches: wherein said multidimensional data structure is an R-Tree (column 12, lines 62-63 as R-tree).

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan F. Rayyan whose telephone number is 571-272-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/828,839

Art Unit: 2167

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7/3/2007